

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/595,583

Applicant(s)

MIZE ET AL.

Examiner

Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:

(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(2) Jennifer J. Taylor, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

established in the previous Office actions

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

recited in the previous Office actions

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Yelena Gakh
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the applicant explained the recitation of claim 40 regarding "modifying a light absorbing property" of impurities, which the examiner misinterpreted. The examiner agreed that clarified recitation could be the basis for allowing this group of claims. The examiner indicated that the preambles of other independent claims (14 and 35) do not correspond neither the subject matter recited in the bodies of the claims, nor the enabling scopes of the claims, to which the applicant disagreed. The examiner will write a new Office action with all remaining unresolved issues clearly expressed.